HREiR Concordat Implementation Plan, 2016 to 2018

	Principle 1					
	rtance of recruiting selecting and retain					
Action	Drivers and Success Measures	Responsible	Timescale	Progress as of summer 2018		
1a Ensure that all those responsible	Driver	Learning and	All trained by end of			
for recruiting research staff have	Lower than required responses in	Development	16/17 academic			
undertaken unconscious bias	the CROS survey 2015 re believing	Manager	year.			
training, including processes for	that UoR treats people fairly					
capturing new starter, returners and	regardless of protected		A process for			
refresher training.	characteristic in relation to		capturing new			
	recruitment and selection.		starters, returners			
For recruitment of ECRs, training for			and refresher			
selectors should include	Success Measures		training in place by			
consideration of diversity of	An increased confidence in fairness		the end of the			
applicants including age (older	of recruitment and selection in the		academic year			
applicants), dependants, part-time	CROS survey 2017 to 85%.		16/17.			
PhD registration and other factors.						
	100% attendance on training for all					
	interviewing managers.					
	Researchers benefit by being					
	recruited from interviews that have					
	been carried out fairly and					
	impartially.					
	' '					
1b Via the new Applicant Tracking	Driver	Leadership &	One review			
System (ATS) – select a sample of	Lower than required responses in	Research Staff	undertaken by the			
recent applicants who reached	the CROS survey 2015 re believing	Development Officer	end of the 16/17			
interview stage and survey them	that UoR treats people fairly		academic year with			
regarding their recruitment	regardless of protected		an action plan in			
experience to understand what	characteristic in relation to		place based on			
attracted them to Reading and their	recruitment and selection.		feedback to begin			
views of the recruitment experience			during the 17/18			
overall.			academic year.			
	Success Measures					

	Feedback from applicants leading to changes where necessary in processes which ultimately leads to increased CROS and PIRLS results re the fairness of recruitment from those who were successful in their application. Researchers benefit by being recruited from interviews that have been carried out fairly and impartially.			
1c Run a focus group with recent new starters in research roles to understand their experiences and what attracted them to work in Reading, including the experience post recruitment.	Driver Lower than required responses in the CROS survey 2015 re believing that UoR treats people fairly regardless of protected characteristic in relation to recruitment and selection. Success Measures An increased confidence in fairness of recruitment and selection in the CROS survey 2017 to 85%. Researchers benefit by being recruited from interviews that have been carried out fairly and impartially.	Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer	Focus group undertaken before Christmas 2016 with action plan in place as a result of the feedback.	
1d Ensure all accreditations and achievements are included in job adverts on all media that applicants might access (for example, Athena SWAN).	Driver Lower than required responses in the CROS survey 2015 re believing that UoR treats people fairly regardless of protected characteristic in relation to recruitment and selection.	Assistant Director HR (People & Talent)	All logos to be live in appropriate channels by Christmas 2016.	

			1	
	Success Measures An increased confidence in fairness of recruitment and selection in the CROS survey 2017 to 85%. Researchers benefit by being recruited from interview practices that have been endorsed by external accreditation e.g. Athena SWAN.			
1e Undertake an annual review of fixed term contract use, particularly in relation to research staff to understand length of contracts, how they are renewed and how many are fixed for more than 2 years (either from the beginning or via renewal), to then determine an appropriate response once data is collected and understood	Driver Career uncertainty due to fixed term contracts was identified as a major contributor to staff leaving the pipeline in Feb 2016 focus groups and 2015 staff survey comments. Success Measures Baseline data for different fixed term contracts obtained.	Assistant Director HR (HR Advisory)	For November 2016 planning round (as per Athena SWAN guidelines)	
 i. Identify different types of fixed term contracts e.g. teaching fellows, sessional staff, research staff, where these are most prevalent. ii. Understand different approaches across schools to fixed term contracts and changes from fixed term to open-ended contracts. iii. Develop University wide guidelines on approach to fixed term contracts, transitioning staff from fixed 	Guidelines agreed and in place on HR and Diversity websites. Applications for transition to openended contracts being received by HR from across the University. Researchers have a clearer understanding of the type of contract they are on and the implications once it comes to an end.			

term to open-ended contracts and redeployment processes. iv. Monitor whether change in academic structure has had an impact on transition to open-ended contracts. If ECR Grade 6 to 7- give research staff in all Schools access to training sessions on career progression routes, delivered by researchers at different career stages, to cover: • What you need to do to progress in an academic career. • Routes from fixed term to permanent and how these work. • How promotion from Research Grade 6 to Research Grade 7 works • Opportunities for personal fellowships, researcher CI. • That all the above are possible through full-time or part-time or some blended mix, and in parallel with growing a family.	Driver Evidence from 2013 Silver Athena SWAN submission from School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences indicates that this works as a component in retaining staff and growing research careers. Success Measures Increase in Research Staff 6 to 7 promotions by 100% across the University by 2019, with female representation at least matching the baseline cohort, in both SET and non-SET parts of the University. Research staff benefit from increased promotions opportunities.	Leadership & Talent Development Manager and Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer	Research staff will have had access to a course by the end of 2017. This training will be repeated so that all staff have access at least every 2 years.	
1g As part of the wider review of induction practices and processes at institution and local level, ensure that the views of research staff are taken on board and addressed,	Driver Decreasing levels of positive response re institutional induction in CROS survey 2015.	L&D Officer and Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer in relation to review	of the 16/17	
particularly in relation to institutional induction. Build in follow up for research staff	Focus group feedback also demonstrated a desire from research staff to have follow up work to undertake to embed	and redesign. Input needed from University Research	academic year. New institutional induction to be live	

post induction.	Success Measures Increase to 75% of respondents who think that institutional induction is useful in CROS survey. 100% of feedback forms from research staff re new staff induction rate the course as either excellent or very good. Researchers benefit from a more targeted and timely induction.	Committee and Research Staff Working Group.	at the start of the 17/18 academic year (at the latest).	
1h Undertake a review of the induction offer for research staff, including all current and proposed approaches and develop a new, enhanced offer. Ensure feedback from CROS focus groups form part of this review.	Driver Duplication of induction modules in the current offer means that the offer is confused and resources are not being used effectively. CROS survey 2015 results, while improving are below what would be expected. Success Measures New induction offer for research staff in place and available by early 2017. Feedback from 100% delegates on this induction rate it as excellent or very good. Scores for departmental and local induction in the CROS 2017 survey improve to 75% of respondents viewing this element of induction as	Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer, working with L&D Officer to ensure links with wider induction review.	New induction offer for research staff live by early 2017.	

Researchers are better able to settle into their role and focus on achieving their goals.	useful.		
	into their role and focus on achieving		

Principle 2

Researchers are recognised and valued by their employing organisation as an essential part of their organisation's human resources and a key component of their overall strategy to develop and deliver world-class research

	overall strategy to develop and deliver world-class research					
Action	Driver and Success Measures	Responsible	Timescale	Progress as of summer 2018		
2a Undertake focus groups with	Driver	Leadership &	Focus groups in			
researchers to understand views	Results from CROS survey 2015	Research Staff	Autumn 2016.			
around how they are valued and	shows decreasing results around	Development Officer				
recognised.	feeling valued in relation to their	re facilitation of	Actions identified in			
	contribution (reductions of between	focus groups and	Autumn 2016 and			
Develop action plans as a result.	7 and 18%).	action identification.	undertaken by			
			summer 2018.			
	Success Measures	University Research				
	Increased response rates to the	Committee in				
	values and recognition questions in	relation to				
	the CROS survey 2017 of 10% on	commitment to				
	average across all questions.	action and wider				
		support.				
	Baseline figures in the 2017 full staff					
	engagement survey are obtained for					
	research staff enabling targets for					
	improvement in future years.					
	Researchers benefit from improved					
	university practices in relation to					
	valuing staff.					
2b Work with the Research Division	Driver	Leadership &	Communities of			
Leads communities of practice to	Result from CROS survey 2015 shows	Research Staff	practice in Autumn			
understand views and needs around	decreasing results in relation to	Development Officer	2016.			
the Concordat and Vitae.	research staff understanding the	re conversations				
	relevance of Vitae and the	with communities of	Actions identified in			
Develop action plans as a result.	Concordat (between 1 and 7%).	practice and action	Autumn 2016 and			
		identification.	undertaken by			

	Success Measures		summer 2018.	
	Increased response rates to the	University Research	3411111C1 2010.	
	values and recognition questions in	Committee in		
	the CROS survey 2017.	relation to		
	the CROS survey 2017.	commitment to		
	All guastians in this soction to get to	action and wider		
	All questions in this section to get to			
	a score of 50% stating that they have	support.		
	some understanding.			
	Researchers benefit from having a			
	greater understanding of the			
	benefits of the Concordat and Vitae			
2c As part of the wider work to	on their working practices. Driver	Leadership &	Povious during 16/17	
review Personal Development	Results from CROS survey 2015	Research Staff	Review during 16/17 ready to go live	
·	1			
Reviews (PDRs), ensure researcher	shows decreasing results around	Development Officer	17/18	
needs are taken into account,	feeling valued in relation to their	A '- D'	The second contract of the second	
particularly in relation to the	contribution (reductions of between	Assistant Director HR	Introduction of this	
emerging work to link PDRs to	7 and 18%).	(People & Talent)	pro forma in two of	
Personal Research Plans (PRPs).			our STEM Schools by	
	Responses re PDR leading to training		December 2017.	
A researcher development strategy	and CPD and changing working		Gathering of	
is needed which links to the	practices, while increasing are not as		feedback on its use	
University's 2020 Research Strategy	high as they should be.		in Summer 2018,	
and makes clear what is expected of			Use in all STEM	
research staff, this must then be	Focus groups highlighted a desire		Schools by	
linked to their PDR process.	from research staff to know what is		December 2018.	
	expected of them at Reading and			
Early career grade 6 to 7 - Introduce,	how this differs to working			
a pro forma to be used for early	elsewhere, and to have clarity about			
career Grade 6 Research Staff	how they as researchers enable			
Performance Development Reviews	Reading to deliver its research			
(PDRs), alongside existing PDR	ambitions.			
guidance, to aid identification of				
concrete activities to be undertaken	As part of its Silver AS action plan			
to support career development and	one of our SET Schools has			
transition to the next academic level.	introduced such a pro forma. This			
	School has subsequently seen			

This pro forma should be adapted to each School, to reflect local Schooland subject-specific opportunities.	substantially larger and gender-balanced rates of RS promotion. Success Measures All research staff have a PDR as measured by the staff survey 2017 Increased response rates to the values and recognition questions in the CROS survey 2017 of 10% on average across all questions. Researcher development strategy in place to take us to 2020. Researchers benefit by knowing what is expected of them, how their progress will be measured and how they contribute to University goals.			
		Principle 3		
Researchers are equip	pped and supported to be adaptable an Driver and Success Measures	d flexible in an increasii Responsible	ngly diverse, mobile, glo Timescale	Progress as of summer 2018
3a Increase in the number and range	Driver and success medianes	Director of Careers &	Activities identified	riogress as or summer 2010
of career development activities for	This action is carried over from the	Employability Service	in Autumn 2016 and	
Research Staff provided by the	2014 action plan as we only got as	and Leadership &	then rolled out	
University Careers, Placement and	far as agreement in principle due to	Research Staff	throughout 2017.	
Experience Centre (CPEC).	resource issues.	Development Officer		
	Success Measures Responses re researchers being treated equally to other staff re promotion and progression increase from 55% to 65% by the 2017 CROS Survey and to 75% by the 2019 CROS survey. Responses re researchers having a			

	clear career development plan increase to 75% by the 2017 CROS survey and 100% by the 2019 CROS survey. A broader range of development activities in place as evidenced by the researcher development brochure and attendance figures. Researchers have more opportunities to develop skills for a broader range of career options.			
3b Profile career destinations of Research staff, ensuring D&I aspects are fully included as per the Athena SWAN action plan and linked to the 'Faces at Reading' element of the People Strategy.	Driver This action was re-scoped from the original 2014 action as URC felt that it was not focused appropriately Success Measures Greater spread of responses in the CROS survey 2017 re where researchers aspire to work. Delivery of Faces at Reading. Researchers can see the wide range of career options.	Deans for D&I PVC Research and Innovation Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer Assistant Director HR (HR Operations)	April 2017	
3c Review the use of the travel fund which is targeted at ECR's to understand how many use this and how it is used. Undertake a survey of those who use the fund to do this and then develop appropriate actions and promote the fund more widely.	Driver The travel fund is a way to fund grade 6 researchers to attend conferences/meetings related to their independent research (a requirement for promotion to grade 7), although given the travel fund will only fund 60% of the expenses there is a shortfall in funding.	Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer	Survey during 16/17 with actions identified and undertaken in 17/18.	

	Success Measures Survey undertaken and actions identified and in place. Researchers have increased access to conferences.			
3d Undertake focus groups to understand if there are ways in which we can improve flexible working for research staff.	Priver Flexible working for research staff can be more difficult to achieve due to the nature of the role. However, anecdotal evidence shows that enabling staff to work flexibly can help them to balance family and work commitments and lead to greater productivity. Success Measures Actions identified and in place to enable flexible working. Increased numbers of researchers agreeing that they are enabled to work flexibly in the annual staff engagement survey (baseline figures will be obtained in 2017 and then we can identify targets for improvement). There are working practices to suit different lifestyles of researchers.	Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer	Focus groups during Autumn 2016 with actions developed for delivery up to 2018.	
3e Selected PIs to deliver training via	Driver	Leadership &	2016 to 2017	
Communities of Practice to other	Feedback from research staff is that	Research Staff		
PI's and RDLs re managing flexible working.	they value the input from more experienced researchers in their	Development Officer		
working.	development as they can share real experience and insight.	Research Deans		
	_	Research Divisional		

	Success Measures	Lead's		
	Numbers of PI's and RDL's delivering	2000 5		
	development.	Principle		
	development.	Investigators'		
	Feedback from courses.	investigators		
	Teedback from courses.	Heads of School		
	Decearchers gain knowledge and	Heads of School		
	Researchers gain knowledge and			
	best practices from experienced			
26 Barrania (a. BhB) Barrania	colleagues.	Hard of Bankard	Charles Harris	
3f Progression from PhD - Data on	Driver	Head of Doctoral	Start collection	
Students with dependants	Gender balance of researchers has	Research Officer	before end of	
Start collecting data on whether UoR	not changed since 2011.		2017/18 academic	
PhD students have children or other	Focus groups and other sources		year. Ongoing from	
dependants. This could be collected	reveal structural difficulties for PhD		that point.	
at application stage and annually	student parents to establish			
upon re-enrolment.	academic careers – this action			
	permits the institution to gather			
	basic data on its student parent			
	population as a first step.			
	Success Measures			
	Availability of data on PhD student			
	parent population for the institution			
	(not previously available).			
	(
3g Combining family and career:	Driver	Chief Financial	i. Identify any	
Childcare & Conferences	Focus groups show that actions	Officer	relevant funding	
i. Policy work identifying whether	needed to build up an academic CV,		pots and process by	
specific School or University-	such as international conference	ii. Leadership &	October 2017.	
controlled funds can be used to	attendance, can be more expensive	Research Staff		
support funding to cover additional	for those with dependants, often	Development Officer		
childcare costs specifically caused by	women. This action tackles the	'	ii. Applications to	
PGR students and research staff	additional expense involved.	Research staff forum	external	
attending conferences.	This is common practice in other		organisations logged	
	organisations, and already promoted	Heads of School	during 2016/17 year	
	1 Os. Housins, and all cady profileted		2011116 2010/17 year	

staff to app	ge students and research oly to other organisations and IOP for funding to ar costs.	by a number of international SET societies. Success Measures i. Decision is taken on whether UoR can offer such funding to PGR students and or staff. ii. Schemes advertised on Diversity & Inclusion website, Research funded staff forum. Cases made (successful and otherwise) to UoR or other organisations per academic year Researchers benefit from more access to conferences to broaden their knowledge and networks.		and regularly thereafter.	
		<u> </u>	Principle 4	<u> </u>	
The	•	' personal and career development, an			
4a As part	Action of the institution wide	Driver and Success Measures Driver	Responsible Leadership &	Timescale Review undertaken	Progress as of summer 2018
-	or the institution wide our coaching and	This action is linked to our wider	Research Staff	during 2016/2017	
	, ensure that the offer for	Athena SWAN actions and is based	Development Officer	academic year with	
	s is clear and based on	on feedback from Athena SWAN	Development Onicei	changes in place in	
	rom research staff. In	surveys as well as anecdotal		time for the	
particular,	ioni rescaren stan. III	evidence that our mentoring and		2017/2018 academic	
i)	ensure that research	coaching offer is not broad enough		year.	
''	staff have input into	and does not necessarily reach staff		year.	
	their choice of mentor	who need it.			
	and				
ii)	ensure that mentoring	Feedback from CROS focus groups			
	links to career	also demonstrated that there is a			
	development plans (see	concern amongst research staff that			
	4c below).	the developmental offer is not			
iii)	Mentors are identified	aligned to their needs as well as it			

when researchers are recruited, ensure link with School processes.	could be. Success Measures People Development are able to report on the numbers of research staff with mentoring arrangements in place and have a mechanism for assessing feedback and usefulness of this to the individual. Researchers benefit because mentoring can improve confidence, skills and broaden researchers' horizons.			
4b As part of the review of PDR, ensure views from PIRLS survey 2017 are taken into account in relation to usefulness and emerging work on linking PDR to PRPs.	Driver While responses on the PIRLS survey 2015 are improving, they are not at the anticipated level for PDR to be embedded and valuable. Success Measures Scores across all elements of this section of the PIRLS survey 2017 increase to an average of 75%. Responses to the question in the PIRLS survey re PDR leading to changes in work practices increases from 25% to 50% agreeing that it does lead to changes by PIRLS survey 2019. Base line figures in the 2017 full staff engagement survey are obtained in relation to the view of research staff on PDR and targets put in place for	Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer	2017/2018 academic year	

		Researchers benefit from being clear about the PRP and PDR processes and how they link together.			
10 Morle 14	ith LIDC DCWC and CaD to	Driver	Research Divisional	Initial conversations	
ensure tha	rith URC, RSWG and CoP to at all research staff have a relopment plan.	Only 51% of respondents to the CROS survey 2015 have a clear	Leads	in autumn 2016.	
career dev	еюртен рып.	career development plan, this is a 1% reduction on the 2013 survey.	Research Deans	Actions begin early 2017 – tbc	
			Supported by	dependent on	
		Success Measures	Leadership & Research Staff	outcomes of	
		75% of respondents to the CROS survey 2017 state that they have a	Development Officer	conversations.	
		clear career development plan in	Development Officer		
		place, increasing to 100% by the			
		2019 CROS survey.			
		Researchers benefit from a realistic			
		career plan with the opportunity to			
		develop skills to achieve it.			
4d Underta	ake a full review of the	Driver	Leadership &	Mechanisms	
whole dev	elopment offer for	The current offer includes a	Research Staff	established by	
research st	taff (at all levels), ensuring	considerable amount of duplication	Development Officer	December 2016.	
the feedba	ack from CROS and PIRLs	and low levels of take up for some	and		
	edback is considered as	elements.		New offer in place	
part of this	S.		Leadership & Talent	for 2017/2018	
.,	5	CROS survey 2015 showed that there	Development	academic year.	
i)	Review titles and	was a need to focus development in	Manager		
	content of courses and who delivers them in	some key areas as there was a reducing number of researchers			
	order attract research	participating in these.			
	staff to undertaking the	participating in these.			
	development.	Feedback from focus groups showed			
ii)	Review how we promote	that our current offer does not			
,	courses to research	appeal to researchers as the way in			
	staff.	which we promote them in relation			
iii)	Ensure that there is	to titles, delivery and			

follow on from all development for research staff to undertake to embed learning. iv) Delivery of a Research Staff Development Strategy.	communications is not effective. Focus group feedback also demonstrated a desire from research staff to have follow up work to undertake to embed learning. Success Measures A new offer in place for the 17/18 academic year. Mechanisms, processes and practices established for annual review by Christmas 2016. Increased numbers of researchers undertaking development – all courses at capacity. This brings an increased understanding of what's available to support personal and career development.			
4e As part of the establishment of an institutional leadership and management development programme, ensure the feedback from the PIRLS 2015 survey is included and development covers the areas identified.	Priver Reducing confidence amongst respondents to PIRLS survey 2015 in relation to a number of leadership aspects. Success Measures Responses in the PIRLS 2017 survey show and increase and by the PIRLS survey 2019 respondents rate their confidence levels across all areas at an average of 80%.	Leadership and Talent Development Manager and Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer	Initial conversations to take place in Autumn 2016. Early enhancements are rolled out in early 2017 with the broader programme in place by the 17/18 academic year.	

	Research staff take up of leadership and management development courses.			
	Researchers benefit from increased skill levels, aiding promotion.			
4f Look at timeframes to promotion	Driver	Leadership &	2017 to 2018	
for research staff and focus	Feedback from a member of the	Research Staff	2017 to 2010	
specifically on understanding the	Research Staff Working Group that a	Development Officer		
reasons why some researchers are	number of universities are now			
not getting promoted.	taking this approach in addition to			
	understanding why people get			
Implement appropriate actions as a result of this review.	promoted so that all perspectives are covered.			
result of this review.	are covered.			
	Success Measures			
	An increase in promotion rates from			
	Grade 6 to Grade 7.			
	December of wederstand what is			
	Researchers understand what is expected of them to gain promotion			
	and have the opportunity to bridge			
	any gaps.			
	701			
		Principle 5		
Individual researchers share the Action	ne responsibility for and need to pro-ac Driver and Success Measures	Responsible	wn personal and career Timescale	Progress as of summer 2018
5a Establish a network for research	Driver and Success Measures Driver	Leadership &	Networks	Progress as of suffiller 2018
staff to come together to discuss	We have communities of practice for	Research Staff	established during	
development needs and to share	RDL's but no forum for ECR staff to	Development Officer	16/17 academic year	
best practice and experience.	meet to discuss issues and share		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	best practice			
Consider how this could link with our				
biennial research staff conference,	Feedback from focus groups has			
the next one being in February 2017.	demonstrated that this would be			
	well received by ECR's.			
	1	i	i	1

	Success Measures Established networks in place, with the People Development Team linked in to enable identification of development needs and delivery of appropriate development. Increase in response rates to section			
	4 of the CROS survey, in particular question 18. Researchers learn from each other.			
5b as per previous actions relating to development and induction, build into the development offer the requirement for research staff to undertake follow up actions in the workplace to embed learning from the development sessions.	Driver Feedback from focus groups demonstrated a demand to enable learning to continue after the session itself is completed. Success Measures Follow up feedback throughout the 12 months post attending at the course demonstrates ongoing learning. Evidence of learning can be used to support job applications.	Leadership & Research Staff Development Officer and wider People Development team	2017 to 2018	
Divorcity or	d equality must be promoted in all asp	Principle 6	and career managemen	at of recearchers
Action	Driver and Success Measures	Responsible	Timescale	Progress as of summer 2018
6a As per our Athena SWAN action plan, ensure the review of workload models considers feedback from CROS survey 2015 re work life balance.	Driver Responses from the CROS survey 2015 are reducing in relation to satisfaction with work life balance.	Deans for Diversity & Inclusion	December 2017	. 1051.033 03 01 301111101 2020
	Success Measures 90% of respondents in the CROS survey 2017 are happy with work life			

	balance, maintained in CROS survey 2019. Researchers are aware of the benefits of maintaining a healthy work life balance.			
6b Ensure research staff are aware of the package of support in relation to wellbeing.	Driver Responses in the CROS survey 2015 are not as high as anticipated (66%). Success Measures 75% of respondents in the CROS survey 2017 believe that the institution promotes wellbeing. Researchers are aware of the benefits of maintaining a healthy work life balance.	HR Partner	Promotion throughout 16/17 in line with the HR strategy and work plan.	
6c As part of action 3b, ensure that a	Driver	Assistant Director HR	2016/2017 academic	
diverse range of researchers are profiled	Athena SWAN requirement around demonstrating diversity in careers.	(HR Operations)	year	
	Institutional targets to achieve a diverse workforce.			
	Success Measures Achievement of institutional targets.			
	Achievement of Athena SWAN accreditation.			
	Researchers benefit by knowing this career choice is open to all as endorsed by external accreditation e.g. Athena SWAN.			
6d Undertake focus groups with PIs	Driver	Deans for Diversity	Focus groups in	

to understand concerns re equality	Decrease in scores across almost all	& Inclusion	Autumn 2016	
and diversity issues raised in PIRLS	E&D questions in the PIRLS 2015			
2015.	survey (between 2 and 14%) – the		Actions fed to	
	biggest drops being in relation to		appropriate action	
	being treated fairly in relation to		plan.	
	religion/belief and race and			
	nationality.			
	Success Measures			
	An increase across all scores in the			
	PIRLS 2017 survey to a minimum of			
	90% for each question.			
	All researchers know they will be			
	treated fairly.			

Principle 7

The sector and all stakeholders will undertake regular and collective review of their progress in strengthening the attractiveness and sustainability of research careers in the UK.

Action	Driver and Success Measures	Responsible	Timescale	Progress as of summer 2018
7a Ensure that researcher	Driver	PVC Research &	2016 to 2018	
development and the progress on	A need to broaden ownership of the	Innovation		
implementation of the HRER action	HRER action plan and to have			
plan is a regular item at University	discussion with a wider group of			
Research Committee (URC).	staff related to the provision of			
	development for research staff.			
	Success Measures			
	HRER and research staff			
	development a regular item at URC.			
	Researchers know they are valued at			
	all levels in the university.			
7h Continue to engage with CPOS	Driver	PVC Research &	2017 and beyond	
7b Continue to engage with CROS			2017 and beyond	
and PIRLS surveys and enhance the	An ongoing need to understand the	Innovation		
amount of engagement with	specific needs of research staff in			
researchers post survey to better	relation to the Concordat and wider	Leadership &		

		1	1	
understand the views raised.	research staff issues.	Research Staff		
		Development Officer		
	Success Measures			
	Surveys undertaken bi-annually and			
	focus groups held as a result.			
	Communication of the results to the			
	research community.			
	,			
	Researchers know they are listened			
	to and their areas of concern are			
	addressed where possible.			
	dudiessed where possible.			
7c Via the all staff engagement	Driver	Assistant Director HR	2017 to 2019	
survey in 2017 and beyond, ensure	An ongoing need to understand the	(People & Talent)	2017 to 2013	
the employment experience of	view of research staff in relation to	(i copic & raicity		
researchers at the University of	all things related to being an	Leadership &		
- I	employee at the University of	Research Staff		
Reading is understood and				
appropriate actions developed.	Reading and identifying links to	Development Officer		
	career and development issues.			
	Success Measures			
	Results from the 2017 survey to			
	obtain a baseline.			
	Targets in place for improvement			
	and supporting action plans in place.			
	Researchers know their views are			
	valued and are acted upon.			